

Pacific Fisher Summary Report 2018

June 1, 2018



Bushnell 07-25-2015 11:54:59



Project Description

Title: Pacific Fisher Annual Report 2018

Purpose: Habitat conservation plan monitoring

Date Initiated: March 1999

Projected End Date: Ongoing

Managers: Sal Chinnici, Manager Forest Sciences, and Brad Mauney, Lead Wildlife Biologist

Executive Summary:

The Pacific fisher (*Pekania pennanti*) is a medium-sized carnivore in the weasel family. It is one of 17 covered species of the HRC Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP). The Pacific fisher is no longer a Federal or State Candidate for listing under the respective Endangered Species Acts. For California, the California Fish and Game Commission on August 5, 2015, made the finding that listing the Southern Sierra Nevada Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) as threatened is warranted, and that listing the Northern California ESU is not warranted. Relative to the Federal Proposal, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on April 18, 2016 withdrew their proposed rule to list the West Coast Distinct Population Segment of fisher as a threatened species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973. The fisher remains a California Species of Special Concern.

The HCP conservation strategy for the Pacific fisher is a combination of a habitat-based approach with an additional structural component element. The management objective is to maintain enough suitable habitat to contribute to a sustainable population of the species in the northern California coastal province. Conservation measures include retention of late seral habitat, aquatic resource protection, measures to retain and recruit habitat structural components, and old growth habitat reserves (i.e., the Marbled Murrelet Conservation Areas or MMCAs).

Monitoring for this species is through forest carnivore surveys to establish continued occupancy of HRC lands, and tracking of seral stage distribution in Watershed Assessment Areas (WAAs). No changes in the monitoring strategy are recommended at this time.

Page i

Project Managers / Primary Authors

Sal Chinnici, Brad Mauney

Project document distribution list:

Susan Sniado CA Dept. of Fish & Wildlife Northern California - North Coast Region 610 2nd Street Eureka, CA 95501

Clarence Hostler NOAA Fisheries 1655 Heindon Rd. Arcata, CA 95521

Dominik Schwab Cal Fire 135 Ridgway Santa Rosa, CA 95401 Kathleen Brubaker U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office 1655 Heindon Rd. Arcata, CA 95521

Kurt McCray Cal Fire 118 Fortuna Blvd. Fortuna, CA 95540

Humboldt Redwood Company	Pacific Fisher Summary Report 2018
7711 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
This page intentionally left blank.	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	Vi
Introduction	1
Purpose	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Baseline Survey (2000-2005)	2
Methods	2
Results	2
Second Survey Cycle 2010-2017	5
Methods	5
Results	6
Habitat Summary	20
Summary and Recommendations	22
References	23

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Order of units sampled over the initial five-year period for the Pacific fisher (Pekania pennanti	
Table 2.	Fisher detections, all methods, 2000 - 2005.	
	Proposed order of units to be sampled over a five-year period (2010 – 2015) for the Pacific	
fish	er (Pekania pennanti) on HRC property	. 6
Table 4.	Species Detected by Camera Trap and Unit 2010-2017.	. 8
Table 5.	2010-2017 Pacific fisher survey summary (fisher detections in bold font)	9
Table 6.	Comparison of Baseline (2000 - 2005) and Current (2010 - 2017) Surveys	6
Table 7.	Seral Types by Watershed Assessment Area (WAA), Acres by Seral Type (not including Mad	
	er)	
LIST	OF FIGURES	
	Photo of golden eagle at camera trap in North Fork Mattole River Unit 101.	

INTRODUCTION

The HCP conservation strategy for the Pacific fisher (*Pekania pennanti*) is a combination of a habitat-based approach with an additional structural component element. The management objective (HCP 6.8.1) is to maintain enough suitable habitat to contribute to a sustainable population of the species in the northern California coastal province. Conservation measures (HCP 6.8.2) include retention of late seral habitat, aquatic resource protection, measures to retain and recruit habitat structural components, and old growth habitat reserves (i.e., the Marbled Murrelet Conservation Areas or MMCAs). Seral stage distribution is to be tracked and reported.

In order to generate more robust information about fisher distribution in the HCP area, a forest carnivore survey methodology was developed in 1999-2000, and implementation of the strategy began in 2000. Remote baited camera sets were used according to the methods of Zielinski and Kucera (1995). The 2000-2005 baseline survey established the occupancy of fisher in survey units in most WAAs across HRC lands. Beginning in 2010 a second survey cycle of HRC lands was initiated according to the same methods. This report summarizes the 2000-2005 and 2010-2017 surveys, compares occupancy of the survey units, and reports current seral stage distribution.

BASELINE SURVEY (2000-2005)

METHODS

In accordance with the methods developed for the Pacific fisher research/monitoring project, a property-wide assessment to determine possible fisher presence and distribution on HRC lands was completed in 2005. The assessment occurred over a five-year period (2000-2005), including a total of 119 sample units, according to Zielinski and Kucera (1995) methods. All lands covered under the HCP (approximately 210,000 acres) comprised the pool from which the sample units were selected for the duration of the project (Table 1).

The sample units are four square mile areas, following the alignment of section lines. This unit size was designed to take in the known variations in Pacific fisher home range, and to be consistent with other studies being conducted within the Pacific Northwest (Zielinski and Kucera 1995). Each of these sample units received either two TrailMaster 1500 single sensor photographic stations, two TrailMaster 550 dual sensor photographic stations, or a combination of the two, for a minimum of 35 sample nights.

RESULTS

The sample units scheduled for the final season of the initial assessment were completed by April 2005 (Table 2). This completed the requirements of the HCP for the property-wide assessment for Pacific fisher monitoring.

Results of the baseline survey included the establishment of Pacific fisher presence in all of the large Watershed Assessment Areas (WAAs) on HRC lands, with the exception of the Van Duzen WAA. Subsequently we found that Green Diamond Resource Company had reported presence of fisher in this WAA.

We have also recorded "incidental detections" that consist of documented fisher sightings within the sample unit, but not at the camera trap.

There were relatively few detections overall, with presence established in 15 sample units. There were 118 sample units completed during the initial five year survey, at a rate of 70 camera nights per unit (2 cameras per unit), for a total of 6,370 sample nights. Survey unit 118 could not be done as the PALCO property in that unit was sold prior to the survey. The 15 detections from 118 sample units resulted in a detection rate of 0.13. Thus, fisher may be well distributed spatially on HRC lands, but may occur in relatively low numbers based on the sample results.

Table 1. Order of units sampled over the initial five-year period for the Pacific fisher (*Pekania pennanti*).

Unit Sampling Order							
(2000-2001)	(2001-2002)	(2001-2002) (2002-2003)		(2004-2005)			
10	19	18	114	57			
111	53	67	54	60			
116	88	59	24	74			
23	71	94	72	9			
22	110	4	16	103			
96	40	64	15	65			
80	90	45	76	2			
107	115	55	75	69			
87	68	83	41	79			
105	92	34	11	102			
33	97	32	66	77			
7	6	117	31	30			
112	20	118*	106	13			
49	27	46	43	14			
29	8	89	108	26			
28	85	37	5	36			
73	109	17	101	82			
50	44	52	70	38			
42	3	100	48	12			
58	56	84	95				
61	119	104	62				
1	47	21	93				
81	35	25	39				
91	98	99	113				
63	51	86	78				

Units not surveyed due to active harvesting, access problems, or end of survey season. These units were sampled at the end of successive years in numerical order, time and weather permitting, or they were sampled in the 5th year of the study (except 118).

Surveyed in year 2 Surveyed in year 3

^{*} Unit 118 (Redway) was sold by PALCO, and was not surveyed.

Table 2. Fisher detections, all methods, 2000 - 2005.

Sample Unit	Detection Year	Zone	Sample Order	Contact Type
32	2000	П	11	Incidental
43	2000	П	14	Incidental
97	2001	IV	11	Incidental
24	2002	1	3	Incidental
27	2002	П	14	Camera
85	2002	IV	16	Camera
115	2002	V	8	Incidental
17	2003	1	17	Camera
25	2003	- 1	23	Camera
37	2003	П	16	Camera
37	2003	П	16	Incidental
41	2003	П	9	Incidental
5	2004	1	16	Camera
11	2004	1	10	Camera
95	2004	IV	20	Camera

SECOND SURVEY CYCLE 2010-2017

METHODS

The methods for this resurvey begun in 2010 are similar to the initial property-wide survey of 2000-2005. The schedule of the resurvey mirrors the original survey (Table 3).

During the 2010-2011 season each of the sample units received either two Bushnell Trophy Trailcam monitoring systems, two TrailMaster 550 dual sensor photographic stations, or a combination of the two, for a minimum of 35 sample nights. Bait packs (~10kg) were wired to a tree in a suitable location within the four square mile units. Gusto (added to lanolin for ease of field application) was also used as an extra attractant or lure. Camera stations were checked weekly and bait packs replaced as necessary and any adjustments, repair, camera film, SD card or battery replacement would occur at that time.

During this period, five sample units were surveyed using the older style Trailmaster 550 cameras. No fishers were detected using these trail monitoring systems. Black bear activity was very high in November through December 2010, but tapered off significantly by mid-January 2011. Damage to the Trailmaster camera sets from black bears is common (e.g. severed cords) and can reduce camera effectiveness, possibly leading to false negatives.

To reduce such problems, in January 2011 we purchased Bushnell Trophy Trailcam camera monitoring systems to phase in and eventually replace the Trailmaster 550 cameras. The Bushnell Trailcams are a digital camera without the peripheral equipment (e.g. connecting cords) that can lead to problems with the Trailmaster systems.

The new camera traps appeared to yield positive results almost immediately. We used the first two Bushnell cameras in the upper watershed of Bear River in unit 96. There was a fisher detection on 22 February 2011 that occurred in plot 96 B (just north of the Chisum Pond) and appeared to be a female or juvenile based on the relatively small size. Another fisher was detected on 20 May 2011 in the Larabee Creek drainage at unit 80 B. The new camera systems seemed to work efficiently, required less maintenance, and appeared to provide excellent feedback.

By the 2011-2012 survey season we had converted our camera traps entirely to the Bushnell Trophy Trailcam systems. Other than the change in camera systems, surveys have continued during the current reporting period using the same methods as for the previous surveys.

Table 3. Proposed order of units to be sampled over a five-year period (2010 - 2015) for the Pacific fisher (*Pekania pennanti*) on HRC property.

Unit Sampling Order							
(2010-2011)	(2011-2012)	(2012-2013)	(2013-2014)	(2014-2015)			
10	19	18	114	57			
111	53	67	54	60			
116	88	59	24	74			
23	71	94	72	9			
22	110	4	16	103			
96	40	64	15	65			
80	90	45	76	2			
107	115	55	75	69			
87	68	83	41	79			
105	92	34	11	102			
33	97	32	66	77			
7	6	117	31	30			
112	20	118*	106	13			
49	27	46*	43	14			
29	8	89	108	26			
28	85	37	5	36			
73	109	17	101	82			
50	44	52	70	38			
42	3	100	48	12			
58	56	84	95				
61	119*	104	62				
1	47	21	93				
81	35	25	39				
91	98	99	113				
63	51	86	78				

^{*} Units 46, 118 and 119 are no longer part of HRC property and will not be surveyed.

RESULTS

During the 2010 - 2017 survey period all 116 units (232 camera traps) were surveyed for a total of 8,120 camera nights. Camera trap results from 2010 - 2017 surveys include detections of 29 different species (Table 4). There were no observable detections at 31 traps (twenty one units). Unidentifiable rodent (four traps) and unidentifiable other species (one trap) were also detected.

Pacific fishers were detected at 53 of the camera traps (total = 232 camera traps), covering 42 of the 116 surveyed sample units, for a trap detection rate of 0.25, a slightly higher rate than 2016, but nearly twice the 0.13 rate for the total baseline survey results. The fisher unit detection rate is now 0.40, slightly higher

than reported in 2016. There were a total of three Pacific fisher detections during the 2016 – 2017 season. The Pacific fisher trap detection rate is now second only to black bear and blacktail deer (Table 4). Black bears (*Ursus americanus*) were the most commonly detected species (0.67 trap, 0.88 unit), followed by blacktail deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*, 0.26, 0.43), fisher (0.25, 0.40), and then gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*, 0.19, 0.30). Gray fox detection rates increased slightly. The Virginia opossum (*Didelphis virginiana*) trap detection rate increased slightly for the trap rate at 0.13, and a slight increase from 0.19 to 0.21 for the unit detection rate. Bobcat (*Lynx rufus*) trap detections remained the same with a trap detection rate of 0.13, and a unit rate of 0.19. Bobcats are a known predator of fishers (e.g. Lofroth et al. 2010).



Figure 1. Photo of golden eagle at camera trap in North Fork Mattole River Unit 101.

Table 4. Species Detected by Camera Trap and Unit 2010-2017.

Species	Scientific Name	# of Traps Where Detected	Trap Detection Rate	# of Units Where Detected	Unit Detection Rate
Black Bear	Ursus americanus	139	0.67	92	0.88
Blacktail Deer	Odocoileus hemionus	54	0.26	45	0.43
Pacific Fisher	(Pekania pennanti)	53	0.25	42	0.40
Gray Fox	Urocyon cinereoargenteus	39	0.19	31	0.30
None	NA	31	0.15	21	0.20
Coyote	Canis latrans	28	0.13	24	0.23
Bobcat	Lynx rufus	27	0.13	21	0.20
Virginia Opossum	Didelphis virginiana	27	0.13	22	0.21
Western Spotted Skunk	Spilogale gracilis	25	0.12	23	0.22
Turkey Vulture	Cathartes aura	23	0.11	17	0.16
Western Gray Squirrel	Sciurus griseus	15	0.07	12	0.12
Raccoon	Procyon lotor	11	0.05	11	0.11
Mountain Lion Puma concolor		10	0.05	10	0.10
Ringtail	Ringtail Bassariscus astutus		0.03	6	0.06
Douglas' Squirrel Tamiasciurus douglasii		6	0.03	6	0.06
Wild Pig	Sus scrofa	5	0.02	4	0.04
Roosevelt Elk	Cervus canadensis roosevelti	5	0.02	5	0.05
Steller's Jay	Cyanocitta stelleri	5	0.02	5	0.05
Unknown Rodent	NA	4	0.02	4	0.04
Gray Jay	Perisoreus canadensis	3	0.01	3	0.03
Domestic Dog	Canis familiaris	3	0.01	3	0.03
Domestic Cattle	Bos taurus	3	0.01	3	0.03
Varied Thrush	Ixoreus naevius	2	0.01	2	0.02
Common Raven	Corvus corax	2	0.01	2	0.02
Least Chipmunk			0.00	1	0.01
Red-tailed Hawk	Red-tailed Hawk Buteo jamecensis		0.00	1	0.01
Wild Turkey	Meleagris gallopavo	1	0.00	1	0.01
Northern Flying Squirrel	Glaucomys sabrinus	1	0.00	1	0.01
Unknown	NA	1	0.00	1	0.01

A complete summary of the 2010-2017 surveys to date is provided in Table 5. Unit locations are shown on the Pacific Fisher Map accompanying this report.

Table 5. 2010-2017 Pacific fisher survey summary (fisher detections in bold font).

Unit	Order	Setup Date	Pull Date	Fisher Detections	Species Detected
10A	1	12/3/2010	1/7/2011	No	black bear, gray fox
10B	1	12/3/2010	1/7/2011	No	black bear, gray fox
111A	2	12/7/2010	1/11/2011	No	blacktail deer, gray fox, black bear
111B	2	12/7/2010	1/11/2011	No	black bear
116A	3	12/9/2010	1/13/2011	No	black bear, gray fox, blacktail deer
116B	3	12/9/2010	1/13/2011	No	black bear
22A	4	12/10/2010	1/14/2011	No	black bear, gray fox
22B	4	12/10/2010	1/14/2011	No	black bear, gray fox
23A	5	12/13/2010	1/17/2011	No	none
23B	5	12/13/2010	1/17/2011	No	black bear, gray fox
105A	10	1/26/2011	3/2/2011	No	mountain lion, spotted skunk, gray fox
105B	10	1/26/2011	3/2/2011	No	gray fox
96A	6	2/3/2011	4/7/2011	No	mountain lion, gray fox, ringtail
96B	6	2/3/2011	4/7/2011	Yes	mouse spp., gray squirrel, Pacific fisher
80A	7	5/17/2011	6/21/2011	Yes	black bear, Pacific fisher
80B	7	5/17/2011	6/21/2011	Yes	opossum, black bear, Pacific fisher
107A	8	6/3/2011	7/8/2011	No	opossum, black bear
107B	8	6/3/2011	7/8/2011	No	opossum, black bear
87A	9	7/18/2011	8/22/2011	No	black bear, Stellers jay, bobcat, northern flying squirrel
87B	9	7/18/2011	8/22/2011	No	black bear
33A	11	9/7/2011	10/12/2011	No	none
33B	11	9/7/2011	10/12/2011	No	none
112A	13	9/8/2011	10/13/2011	No	raccoon, gray squirrel, opossum, black bear, spotted skunk

Unit	Order	Setup Date	Pull Date	Fisher Detections	Species Detected
112B	13	9/8/2011	10/13/2011	No	black bear, gray squirrel, blacktail deer, wild pig, opossum
49A	14	11/1/2011	12/6/2011	Yes	black bear, blacktail deer, Pacific fisher
49B	14	11/2/2011	12/7/2011	No	black bear, bobcat
29A	15	11/7/2011	12/12/2011	No	black bear
29B	15	11/8/2011	12/13/2011	No	blacktail deer, gray fox, black bear
28B	16	11/15/2011	12/20/2011	No	blacktail deer, unk spp., black bear, bobcat
28A	16	11/15/2011	12/20/2011	Yes	black bear, bobcat, Pacific fisher, blacktail deer, Stellers jay, Douglas' squirrel
73A	17	12/16/2011	1/20/2012	No	bobcat, black bear, varied thrush, gray squirrel, spotted skunk, blacktail deer, opossum
73B	17	12/17/2011	1/21/2012	No	opossum
42A	19	12/27/2011	1/31/2012	No	opossum, blacktail deer
42B	19	1/3/2012	2/7/2012	No	blacktail deer, spotted skunk
58A	20	1/12/2012	3/5/2012	No	spotted skunk
58B	20	1/12/2012	3/5/2012	No	spotted skunk, gray squirrel, bear or deer, mountain lion
7A	12	2/28/2012	4/3/2012	Yes	spotted skunk, Pacific fisher
7B	12	2/28/2012	4/3/2012	Yes	Pacific fisher
61A	21	3/16/2012	4/20/2012	No	turkey vulture
61B	21	3/16/2012	4/20/2012	No	blacktail deer, turkey vulture
1B	22	3/21/2012	4/25/2012	Yes	Pacific fisher
1A	22	3/21/2012	4/25/2012	No	none
81A	23	3/26/2012	4/30/2012	No	black bear
81B	23	3/26/2012	4/30/2012	Yes	Pacific fisher, ringtail, black bear, blacktail deer
63A	25	4/13/2012	5/18/2012	No	coyote, opossum
63B	25	4/13/2012	5/18/2012	Yes	Pacific fisher, coyote, raccoon, blacktail deer, turkey vulture
91A	24	5/25/2012	6/29/2012	No	black bear
91B	24	5/25/2012	6/29/2012	No	black bear, raccoon, turkey vulture
50A	18	7/13/2012	8/17/2012	No	Roosevelt elk, blacktail deer, black bear, gray fox
50B	18	7/13/2012	8/17/2012	No	Roosevelt elk, blacktail deer, bobcat, coyote
19A	1	8/8/2012	9/12/2012	No	black bear

Unit	Order	Setup Date	Pull Date	Fisher Detections	Species Detected
19B	1	8/8/2012	9/12/2012	No	black bear, bobcat
53A	2	10/11/2012	11/15/2012	No	black bear
53B	2	10/11/2012	11/15/2012	No	none
88A	3	10/23/2012	11/27/2012	No	gray fox, blacktail deer, opossum, bobcat
88B	3	10/23/2012	11/27/2012	Yes	black bear, gray fox, Pacific fisher
71B	4	10/26/2012	11/30/2012	No	black bear, opossum, blacktail deer, spotted skunk
71A	4	10/26/2012	11/30/2012	Yes	black bear, gray fox, gray squirrel, blacktail deer, Roosevelt elk, coyote, Pacific fisher
110A	5	12/7/2012	1/11/2013	No	black bear
110B	5	12/7/2012	1/11/2013	No	blacktail deer, black bear, Stellers jay
40A	6	12/12/2012	1/16/2013	Yes	black bear, coyote, bobcat, Pacific fisher
40B	6	12/12/2012	1/16/2013	Yes	black bear, spotted skunk, gray fox, coyote, Pacific fisher
90A	7	12/18/2012	1/22/2013	Yes	Pacific fisher, ringtail, black bear, gray fox
90B	7	12/18/2012	1/22/2013	Yes	Pacific fisher
60A	8	1/22/2013	2/26/2013	No	none
60B	8	1/22/2013	2/26/2013	No	none
115A	9	2/4/2013	3/11/2013	No	wild pig, wild turkey, bobcat, coyote
115B	9	2/4/2013	3/11/2013	No	wild pig, bobcat
92A	11	2/5/2013	3/12/2013	No	gray fox, spotted skunk
92B	11	2/5/2013	3/12/2013	Yes	Pacific fisher
97B	10	2/6/2013	3/13/2013	No	none
97A	10	2/6/2013	3/13/2013	Yes	Pacific fisher, mountain lion
6A	12	3/5/2013	4/9/2013	No	gray jay
6B	12	3/5/2013	4/9/2013	No	gray jay, turkey vulture, common raven
20A	13	3/20/2013	4/24/2013	Yes	Pacific fisher, bobcat, black bear
20B	13	3/20/2013	4/24/2013	Yes	Black Bear, Bobcat, Blacktail deer, Pacific fisher
27A	14	3/20/2013	4/24/2013	Yes	Pacific fisher, bobcat, black bear
27B	14	3/20/2013	4/24/2013	Yes	Pacific fisher, black bear
8A	15	6/11/2013	7/16/2013	No	Black Bear
8B	15	6/11/2013	7/16/2013	No	Black bear
85A	16	5/14/2013	6/18/2013	No	black bear
85B	16	5/14/2013	6/18/2013	Yes	Pacific fisher, black bear, coyote, mountain lion
3A	19	7/31/2013	9/4/2013	No	black bear
3B	19	7/31/2013	9/4/2013	No	black bear, douglas' squirrel

Unit	Order	Setup Date	Pull Date	Fisher Detections	Species Detected
44A	18	8/12/2013	9/16/2013	No	black bear
44B	18	8/12/2013	9/16/2013	No	black bear, Roosevelt elk
56A	20	9/17/2013	10/22/2013	No	none
56B	20	9/17/2013	10/22/2013	No	black bear, coyote, gray fox, spotted skunk, turkey vulture, common raven
47A	22	9/19/2013	10/24/2013	No	domestic dog, blacktail deer, opossum
47B	22	9/19/2013	10/24/2013	No	black bear
35A	23	10/15/2013	11/19/2013	No	raccoon, coyote
35B	23	10/15/2013	11/19/2013	No	blacktail deer, black bear
51A	25	11/11/2013	12/16/2013	No	bobcat, raccoon, opossum
51B	25	11/11/2013	12/16/2013	No	domestic dog, bobcat, coyote, blacktail deer, racoon, opossum
18B	1	11/13/2013	12/18/2013	Yes	Pacific fisher, gray squirrel, blacktail deer, bobcat
18A	1	11/13/2013	12/18/2013	No	black bear, bobcat, varied thrush, gray squirrel
98A	24	11/19/2013	12/24/2013	No	blacktail deer, gray squirrel, coyote, opossum, black bear
98B	24	11/19/2013	12/24/2013	No	none
67A	2	11/21/2013	12/26/2013	No	blacktail deer, bobcat, Douglas' squirrel, least chipmunk
67B	2	11/21/2013	12/26/2013	No	blacktail deer, bobcat, coyote, mountain lion, gray fox
94A	4	11/22/2013	12/27/2013	No	blacktail deer
94B	4	11/22/2013	12/27/2013	No	black bear, blacktail deer, coyote, gray fox
59A	3	12/26/2013	1/30/2014	Yes	bobcat, Pacific fisher
59B	3	12/26/2013	1/30/2014	No	none
4A	5	1/3/2014	2/7/2014	No	none
4B	5	1/3/2014	2/7/2014	No	none
64A	6	1/6/2014	2/10/2014	No	gray fox, spotted skunk
64B	6	1/6/2014	2/10/2014	No	opossum
45B	7	1/16/2014	2/20/2014	Yes	Pacific fisher, Douglas' squirrel, mouse spp.
45A	7	1/16/2014	2/20/2014	No	blacktail deer
109A	17	2/3/2014	3/10/2014	No	pig
109B	17	2/3/2014	3/10/2014	No	spotted skunk
32A	11	3/5/2014	3/25/2014	Yes	coyote, Pacific fisher
32B	11	3/5/2014	3/25/2014	Yes	blacktail deer, turkey vulture, Pacific fisher
55A	8	3/14/2014	4/18/2014	No	opossum
55B	8	3/14/2014	4/18/2014	No No	none
83B 83A	9	3/18/2014 3/18/2014	4/23/2014 4/23/2014	Yes No	black bear, turkey vulture, blacktail deer, Pacific fisher mountain lion, spotted skunk, gray squirrel, mouse spp.
34B	10	3/25/2014	5/7/2014	No	black bear, gray fox, blacktail deer, domestic cow
J+D	10	312312014	3/1/2014	140	orack ocar, gray rox, oracktair ucci, utilicitic cow

Unit	Order	Setup Date	Pull Date	Fisher Detections	Species Detected
117A	12	3/26/2014	4/30/2014	No	turkey vulture, black bear
117B	12	3/26/2014	4/30/2014	No	turkey vulture, black bear
34A	10	3/27/2014	5/8/2014	Yes	Ringtail, opossum, gray fox, Pacific fisher
89A	15	4/21/2014	5/26/2014	No	turkey vulture, black bear, blacktail deer
89B	15	4/22/2014	5/27/2014	No	none
37A	12	4/24/2014	5/29/2014	Yes	black bear, Pacific fisher
37B	12	4/24/2014	5/29/2014	Yes	black bear, Pacific fisher, gray jay
52A	18	5/8/2014	7/8/2014	No	black bear, blacktail deer, elk, coyote
52B	18	5/8/2014	7/8/2014	No	black bear, raccoon, spotted skunk, coyote
84A	20	5/19/2014	6/18/2014	Yes	Pacific fisher, black bear
104A	21	6/19/2014	7/28/2014	No	black bear, blacktail deer
104B	21	6/19/2014	7/28/2014	No	gray fox, blacktail deer, black bear
100A	19	6/20/2014	8/15/2014	No	black bear, coyote, blacktail deer, turkey vulture, domestic cow
17A	17	6/25/2014	8/18/2014	No	black bear, turkey vulture
17B	17	6/25/2014	8/18/2014	No	mountain lion, black bear, turkey vulture, raccoon
100B	19	6/27/2014	8/6/2014	No	Ringtail, black bear
99A	24	7/18/2014	8/22/2014	No	black bear, coyote, gray fox, raccoon, turkey vulture, red-tailed hawk,
99B	24	7/18/2014	8/22/2014	No	black bear, gray fox, turkey vulture
86A	25	8/5/2014	9/9/2014	Yes	black bear, gray fox, Pacific fisher
86B	25	8/5/2014	9/9/2014	No	black bear, gray fox
54A	2	8/6/2014	9/10/2014	No	blacktail deer
54B	2	8/6/2014	9/10/2014	No	black bear
114A	1	8/27/2014	10/8/2014	No	gray squirrel, wild pig (piglet), black bear, opossum
114B	1	8/27/2014	10/8/2014	No	Douglas' squirrel, spotted skunk, opossum, black bear, striped skunk, mountain lion, gray fox, blacktail deer
24A	3	9/4/2014	10/9/2014	No	black bear
24B	3	9/4/2014	10/9/2014	Yes	Pacific fisher
72A	4	9/9/2014	10/14/2014	Yes	black bear, gray squirrel, Pacific fisher
72B	4	9/9/2014	10/14/2014	No	gray fox, gray squirrel, black bear
16A	5	9/16/2014	10/21/2014	No	black bear
16B	5	9/16/2014	10/21/2014	No	gray fox, gray squirrel, blacktail deer
15A	6	9/29/2014	11/3/2014	No	black bear
15B	6	9/29/2014	11/3/2014	No	black bear, spotted skunk
76A	7	10/10/2014	11/14/2014	Yes	black bear, blacktail deer, spotted skunk, Pacific fisher
76B	7	10/10/2014	11/14/2014	Yes	blacktail deer, spotted skunk, coyote, bobcat, gray squirrel, Douglas' squirrel, Pacific fisher

Unit	Order	Setup Date	Pull Date	Fisher Detections	Species Detected		
41A	9	11/3/2014	12/8/2014	Yes	opossum, black bear, bobcat, Pacific fisher		
41B	9	11/3/2014	12/8/2014	No	black bear, blacktail deer		
75A	8	11/7/2014	12/12/2014	Yes	Pacific fisher, black bear		
75B	8	11/7/2014	12/12/2014	No	none		
11A	10	11/12/2014	12/17/2014	No	blacktail deer		
11B	10	11/12/2014	12/17/2014	No	none		
66A	11	12/19/2014	1/23/2015	No	opossum		
66B	11	12/19/2014	1/23/2015	No	bobcat, mountain lion, black bear		
31A	12	12/22/2014	1/26/2015	Yes	Pacific fisher		
31B	12	12/22/2014	1/26/2015	No	none		
43A	15	12/26/2014	1/30/2015	Yes	gray fox, Pacific fisher, blacktail deer		
43B	15	12/26/2014	1/30/2015	Yes	Pacific fisher, blacktail deer		
108A	15	4/13/2015	5/18/2015	No	none		
108B	15	4/13/2015	5/18/2015	No	none		
5A	16	4/13/2015	5/18/2015	No	black bear		
5B 70A	16 18	4/13/2015 4/13/2015	5/18/2015 5/18/2015	No No	none none		
70A	18	4/13/2015	5/18/2015	No	none		
101A	17	5/13/2015	6/17/2015	No	none		
101B	17	5/15/2015	6/19/2015	No	none		
106A	17	5/6/2015	6/10/2015	No	black bear		
106B	17	5/6/2015	6/10/2015	No	black bear		
101A	17	5/13/2015	6/17/2015	No	gray fox		
101B	17	5/15/2015	6/19/2015	No	golden eagle, spotted skunk, bobcat, gray fox, raccoon, gray squirrel, least chipmunk		
113A	24	6/18/2015	7/23/2015	No	black bear, turkey vulture		
113B	24	6/18/2015	7/23/2015	No	black bear, turkey vulture		
62A	21	7/10/2015	8/14/2015	No	black Bear, opposum		
62B	21	7/10/2015	8/14/2015	Yes	Pacific fisher, black bear, turkey vulture		
78A	25	7/10/2015	8/14/2015	No	black bear		
78B	25	7/10/2015	8/14/2015	No	black bear, spotted skunk, oppousm		
95A	20	7/28/2015	9/1/2015	No	black bear		
95B	20	7/28/2015	9/1/2015	No	black bear		
39A	23	10/29/2015	12/3/2015	Yes	Pacific fisher, black bear		
39B	23	10/29/2015	12/3/2015	No	black bear		
93A	22	10/30/2015	12/4/2015	No	black bear, gray fox		
93B	22	10/30/2015	12/4/2015	No	black bear, spotted skunk, Douglas squirrel		
57A	1	11/5/2015	12/10/2015	No	nothing-bait not disturbed		
57B	1	11/5/2015	12/10/2015	No	black bear		

Unit	Order	Setup Date	Pull Date	Fisher Detections	Species Detected		
48A	19	11/19/2015	12/24/2015	Yes	Pacific fisher		
48B	19	11/19/2015	12/24/2015	No	black bear		
60A	2	12/1/2015	1/5/2016	No	nothing-bait not disturbed		
60B	2	12/1/2015	1/5/2016	No	bobcat, bear		
74A	3	12/1/2015	1/5/2016	No	nothing-bait not disturbed		
74B	3	12/1/2015	1/5/2016	Yes	black bear, spotted skunk, blacktail deer, Pacific fisher		
9A	4	1/13/2016	2/17/2016	Yes	Coyote, Pacific fisher		
9B	4	1/13/2016	2/17/2016	Yes	coyote, domestic dog, black bear, Pacific fisher		
65A	6	3/1/2016	4/5/2016	No	gray fox, blacktail deer		
65B	6	3/1/2016	4/5/2016	No			
2A		3/1/2016	4/5/2016	Yes	Pacific fisher, tukey vulture, bobcat		
2B		3/1/2016	4/5/2016	No	coyote, bobcat		
69A	8	4/19/2016	5/24/2016	No	nothing-bait not disturbed		
69B	8	4/19/2016	5/24/2016	No	coyote, racoon		
103A	5	4/23/2016	5/28/2016	No	black bear, domestic cow, blacktail deer		
103B	5	4/23/2016	5/28/2016	No	black bear, blacktail deer		
79A	9	4/27/2016	6/1/2016	No	black bear, opposum, turkey vulture		
79B	9	4/27/2016	6/1/2016	No	ringtail, turkey vulture		
21A	22	6/3/2016	7/8/2016	No	black bear		
25A	23	6/21/2016	7/26/2016	No	turkey vulture, black bear		
25B	23	6/21/2016	7/26/2016	No	black bear, rodent spp.		
21B	22	6/27/2016	8/1/2016	No	black bear		
77A	11	7/27/2016	8/31/2016	No	black bear		
77B	11	7/27/2016	8/31/2016	No	black bear		
102A	10	8/2/2016	9/6/2016	No	black bear, gray fox		
102B	10	8/2/2016	9/6/2016	No	black bear		
13A	13	8/5/2016	9/9/2016	No	black bear		
13B	13	8/5/2016	9/9/2016	No	black bear, racoon		
30A	12	9/19/2016	10/24/2016	No	nothing-bait not disturbed		
30B	12	9/19/2016	10/24/2016	No	black bear		
14A	14	9/20/2016	10/25/2016	No	spotted skunk, black bear		
14B	14	9/20/2016	10/25/2016	No	black bear		
26A	15	10/7/2016	11/11/2016	No	black bear		
26B	15	10/7/2016	11/11/2016	Yes	black bear, Pacific Fisher		
36A	16	10/21/2016	11/25/2016	No	opossum		
36B	16	10/21/2016	11/25/2016	Yes	blacktail deer, Pacific Fisher, black bear		

Unit	Order	Setup Date	Pull Date	Fisher Detection?	Species Detected			
82A	17	10/25/2016	11/29/2016	No	black bear, spotted skunk, coyote, gray fox			
82B	17	10/25/2016	11/29/2016	No	black bear			
38A	18	11/1/2016	12/6/2016	No	black bear			
38B	18	11/1/2016	12/6/2016	No	black bear			
12A	19	12/1/2016	1/5/2017	No				
12B	19	12/1/2016	1/5/2017	Yes	Pacific Fisher, coyote			

Table 6 provides a comparison of results of the baseline (2000 - 2005) surveys and 2010-2017 surveys. As discussed above, 116 of the 119 (three units are off HRC ownership) sample units were resurveyed, with a total of 42 fisher (unit) detections. Twelve sample units (1, 2, 9, 24, 27, 32, 37, 41, 43, 45, 85, and 97) were occupied by fisher on the baseline and have also shown current occupancy (1.00 occupancy rate). Fifty-three sample units had negative results on both the baseline and current survey. Interestingly, 30 sample units had negative results on the baseline survey, but had fisher detections on the current survey. Conversely, six units (5, 11, 17, 25, 95, and 115) were occupied on the baseline survey, but did not have fisher detections on the second survey.

Table 6. Comparison of Baseline (2000 - 2005) and 2010 -2017 Surveys.

Pacific fisher sample Unit #	Pacific fisher detections 2000- 2005 surveys	Pacific fisher detections 2010-2017 surveys			
1	Yes	Yes			
2	Yes	Yes			
3	No	No			
4	No	No			
5	Yes	No			
6	No	No			
7	No	Yes			
8	incidental	No			
9	Yes	Incidental sighting and survey			
10	No	No			
11	incidental and survey	No			
12	No	Yes			
13	No	No			
14	No	Incidental sighting			
15	No	Incidental sighting			
16	No	Incidental sighting			
17	Yes	No			
18	No	Yes			
19	No	No			
20	No	Yes			
21	No	No			

Pacific fisher sample Unit #	Pacific fisher detections 2000- 2005 surveys	Pacific fisher detections 2010-2017 surveys
22	No	Incidental
23	No	No
24	incidental and survey	Yes
25	incidental	No
26	No	Yes
27	Yes	incidental and survey
28	No	incidental and survey
29	incidental	No
30	No	No
31	No	Yes
32	incidental and survey	incidental and survey
33	No	No
34	No	Yes
35	No	No
36	No	Yes
37	incidental and survey	Yes
38	Incidental	No
39	No	incidental and survey
40	No	Yes
41	incidental and survey	Yes
42	No	survey
43	incidental and survey	incidental and survey
44	No	Incidental
45	incidental	Yes
46	No	Off HRC Ownership
47	No	No
48	No	Yes
49	No	Yes
50	No	No
51	No	No
52	No	No
53	No	No
54	No	No
55	No	No
56	No	No
57	No	No
58	No	No
59	No	Yes
60	incidental	No
61	No	No
62	No	Yes
63	No	incidental and survey

64	Pacific fisher sample Unit#	Pacific fisher detections 2000- 2005 survey	Pacific fisher detections 2010-2017 survey
65	C4	No	No
66 No No<			
68 No Yes No Yes No N			
69 No No 70 No No 71 No Yes 72 No Yes 73 No No 74 No Yes 75 No Yes 76 No incidental and survey 77 No incidental 79 No No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No No 93 No No 94 No No	67	No	No
70 No Yes 71 No Yes 72 No Yes 73 No No 74 No Yes 75 No Yes 76 No incidental and survey 77 No incidental 79 No No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes	68	No	No
71 No Yes 72 No Yes 73 No No 74 No Yes 75 No Yes 76 No incidental and survey 77 No incidental 78 incidental No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes	69	No	No
72 No Yes 73 No No 74 No Yes 75 No Yes 76 No incidental and survey 77 No incidental 78 incidental No 80 No No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No No 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes	70	No	No
73 No No 74 No Yes 75 No incidental and survey 76 No incidental and survey 77 No incidental 8 incidental No 79 No No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 inci	71	No	Yes
74 No Yes 75 No incidental and survey 76 No incidental 77 No incidental 78 incidental No 80 No No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No	72	No	Yes
75 No Yes 76 No incidental and survey 77 No incidental 78 incidental No 79 No No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No No 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No	73	No	No
76 No incidental and survey 77 No incidental 78 incidental No 79 No No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No	74	No	Yes
77 No incidental 78 incidental No 79 No No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No	75	No	Yes
78 incidental No 79 No No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No	76	No	incidental and survey
79 No No 80 No Yes 81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No	77	No	incidental
80 No Yes 81 No No 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	78	incidental	No
81 No Yes 82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	79	No	No
82 No No 83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	80	No	Yes
83 No Yes 84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	81	No	Yes
84 No incidental and survey 85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	82	No	No
85 Yes Yes 86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	83	No	Yes
86 No Yes 87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	84	No	incidental and survey
87 No No 88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	85	Yes	Yes
88 No Yes 89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	86	No	Yes
89 No No 90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	87	No	No
90 No Yes 91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	88	No	Yes
91 No No 92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	89	No	No
92 No Yes 93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	90	No	Yes
93 No No 94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	91	No	No
94 No No 95 Yes No 96 No Yes 97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	92	No	Yes
95YesNo96NoYes97incidental and surveyYes98incidentalNo99NoNo	93	No	No
96NoYes97incidental and surveyYes98incidentalNo99NoNo	94	No	No
97 incidental and survey Yes 98 incidental No 99 No No	95	Yes	No
98 incidental No 99 No No	96	No	Yes
99 No No	97	incidental and survey	Yes
	98	incidental	No
100 No No	99	No	No
	100	No	No

Pacific fisher sample Unit#	Pacific fisher detections 2000- 2005 survey	Pacific fisher detections 2010-2017 survey		
101	No	No		
102	No	No		
103	No	No		
104	No	No		
105	incidental	No		
106	No	incidental		
107	No	No		
108	No	No		
109	No	No		
110	No	No		
111	No	No		
112	No	No		
113	No	No		
114	No	No		
115	incidental	No		
116	No	No		
117	No	No		
118	Not Surveyed	Off HRC Ownership		
119	No	Off HRC Ownership		

There have been 33 Pacific fisher incidental (unit) detections and 43 individual sightings that have occurred from 2000-2017. Of those 43 sightings, 16 of those were a unique sighting only with no camera detections on either the first survey cycle or the second survey cycle.

HABITAT SUMMARY

Regarding maintenance of habitat for the Pacific fisher, the HCP states:

"Retention of late seral habitat on the ownership through the life of the permit is expected to provide sufficient habitat in terms of quantity, quality, and distribution to contribute to a viable population. Channel Migration Zones (CMZs) and Riparian Management Zones (RMZs) are expected to provide connectivity across the landscape. In many locations, CMZs and RMZs will intersect with other RMZs or be augmented by habitat subject to silvicultural restrictions (e.g. NSO activity sites, mass-wasting sites, or steep slopes adjacent to RMZs). These areas, MMCAs, and adjoining public lands will form an interconnecting network of habitat which is expected to provide opportunities for denning and resting sites in the Humboldt, Yager, and Van Duzen WAAs. HRC land within the Bear, Mattole, and Eel WAAs is not expected to provide blocks of late seral habitat through the life of the permit. Late seral and old growth habitat on public lands adjacent to HRC ownership in these two WAAs is expected to provide suitable habitat for the species.

The conservation measures to retain and recruit habitat structural components within and outside of RMZs across the ownership is expected to provide older forest legacies in younger stands when these stands reach a mid-successional seral stage. These legacy components are expected to provide suitable substrate for Pacific fisher denning and resting sites."

The quantity and distribution of late seral habitat as of January 2018, according to the most recent stand inventory information as cross-walked to California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System (CWHR) types, and thus seral stage for the Watershed Assessment Areas (WAAs), is shown in Table 7. HRC's HCP commitment is to maintain at least 10% late seral of forested lands by WAA (HCP 6.11). CMZs, RMZs, NSO activity sites, mass-wasting sites, and steep slope areas are tracked separately through other HCP programs and applied on each Timber Harvesting Plan (THP). In addition, the retention and recruitment of habitat structural components are tracked via individual THPs.

Pacific fisher habitat should also benefit over time as a result of the HRC conservation measure of retention of all old growth trees meeting the company's policy, and use of uneven-aged silviculture, two additional measures not contemplated during the writing of the HCP and Biological Opinion. In addition, HRC continues to designate stands meeting the definition of High Conservation Value Forest (HCVF) according to the requirements of Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification, including an

approximately 200 acre late seral forest on the north side of Long Ridge in the North Fork of the Mattole River watershed.

Table 7. Seral Types by Watershed Assessment Area (WAA), not including Mad River, as of January 2018.

								% Late
WAA	Grass	Hardwood	Open	Young	Mid	Late	Totals	Seral*
Humboldt Bay	0	383	200	12,020	16,196	9,534	38,333	24.87
Yager Creek	88	501	833	17,296	10,907	4,400	34,026	12.97
Van Duzen River	79	370	827	7,481	11,723	4,995	25,476	19.67
Eel River	566	5,639	2,133	28,877	23,143	14,360	74,718	19.36
Bear/Mattole River	3,297	10,764	542	6,702	3,162	10,209	34,676	32.54
Total	4,031	17,657	4,535	72,377	65,131	43,499	207,231	

^{*}Percent of forested lands (i.e., excluding grasslands, HCP 6.11.2.1)



Figure 2. Coyote at camera trap in South Fork Freshwater Creek Unit 9.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The second survey cycle (2010-2017) was completed in January 2017 (Table 4). No further surveys are planned at this time. HRC will continue to track habitat per WAA to demonstrate that HCP measures are being met. No changes in the monitoring strategy are proposed at this time.

REFERENCES

- Lofroth, E.C., C.M. Raley, J.M. Higley, R.L. Truex. J.S. Yaeger, J.C. Lewis, P.J. Happe, L.L. Finley, R.H. Naney, L.J. Hale, A.L. Krause, S.A. Livingston, A.M. Myers, and R.N. Brown. 2010. Conservation of Fishers (Martes pennanti) in South-Central British Columbia, Western Washington, Western Oregon, and California-Volume 1: Conservation Assessment. USDI Bureau of Land Management, Denver, Colorado, USA.
- Zielinski, W.J. and T.E. Kucera, editors. 1995. American marten, fisher, lynx and wolverine: survey methods for their detection. U.S. Forest Service General Technical Report PSW-GTR-157. 163 pp.